

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES AND DEVELOPMENT

700 North 10th Street, Room 258
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 341-4200
(916) 341-4203 (FAX)
(916) 327-6318 (TDD)



June 26, 2006

Subject: 2005 Community Services Block Grant Information System (CSBG/IS) Survey

Attached is the completed 2005 California Community Services Block Grant Information System (CSBG/IS) Survey that was submitted to The National Association for State Community Services Programs (NASCS) and concurrently to the Office of Community Services (OCS) on March 30, 2006. The information contained in this survey is aggregated with information provided by each state. The aggregated information will be reported in the 2005 National CSBG/IS Statistical Report prepared by NASCS. That report is then forwarded to OCS and Congress to illustrate the impact and outcomes of CSBG funded programs throughout the United States. Therefore, CSD would like to thank all the CSBG Agencies for submitting the 2005 CSBG/IS Survey.

The sections listed below are included in the report. Included for reference, in parenthesis following Sections D, E, F, G and Part II is the CSBG reporting form used to capture and aggregate this information. In addition, this year we have included a listing of local agency data that was reported for Section E, F and G.

Part I

- **Section A—State Allocations of FY 2004 CSBG Funds**
- **Section B—General Information on the California CSBG Network & Distribution of Funds**
- **Section C—General Information on State CSBG Administration**
- **Section D—Program and Management Accomplishments (CSD 090)**
- **Section E—CSBG Expenditures by Service Category (CSD 425.OF)**
- **Section F—Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network (CSD 425.OR)**
- **Section G—Client Characteristics (CSD 295)**

Part II

- **Outcome of Efforts: National Performance Indicators (CSD 801)**

Attachments

- **Attachment A—List of Eligible Entities**
- **Attachment B—Other HHS Resources**
- **Attachment C—Other Federal Sources**
- **Attachment D—Other State Sources**
- **Attachment E—Additional National Performance Indicators**

The CSBG/IS contains valuable information regarding the accomplishments of the CSBG Network in 2005. CSD encourages each agency to utilize the data in local reports to support the value of the CSBG Program.

For any questions regarding this report, please contact Amber Twitchell at (916) 341-4322.

Sincerely,

PAMELA HARRISON
MANAGER

Section A

State Allocations of FY 2005 CSBG Funds

Part I: Section A. State Use of CSBG Funds

1. State Reporting Period (month/day/year)

State Reporting:

From: 1/1/2005 to: 12/31/2005 (365 days)

California

2. Total CSBG funds expended in FY 2005 for:

	Planned	Actual
a. Eligible Entities	\$51,260,971	\$51,260,971
b. State Administrative Costs	\$2,824,986	\$2,824,986
c. Discretionary Projects	\$2,413,766	\$2,413,766
d. Total Funds	\$56,499,723	\$56,499,723
	\$0	\$0

3. Of the total in 2d., how much represents carryover funding from the previous fiscal year?

3. \$0

4. Carry-forward of FY 2005 funds to FY 2006 programs

4. \$0

5. State CSBG funds if any (see instructions)

5. \$0

6. TOTAL Federal and State CSBG funds in FY 2005

6. \$56,499,723

Section B

General Information on California CSBG
Network and Distribution of Funds

Part I: Section B. General Information on Local CSBG Agencies

State Reporting: California

1. Eligible entities receiving FY 2005 funds:

(Please attach a list of eligible entities, their addresses, and their award amounts.)

- | | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| a. Number of Community Action Agencies (CAAs) among eligible entities | <input type="text" value="54"/> |
| b. Number of Limited Purpose Agencies (LPAs) among eligible entities | <input type="text" value="4"/> |
| c. Number of organizations serving migrant or seasonal farmworkers | <input type="text" value="5"/> |
| d. number of these also counted in a or b | <input type="text" value="2"/> |
| e. Number of units of local government | <input type="text" value="25"/> |
| f. number of these also counted in a,b or c | <input type="text" value="25"/> |
| g. Others designated eligible by statute | <input type="text" value="3"/> |
| h. number of these also counted in a,b,c or e | <input type="text" value="1"/> |
| i. Total unduplicated number of eligible entities | <input type="text" value="63"/> |

2. Were previously funded eligible entities dropped in FY 2005?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Number:

(If yes, indicate number and reason.)

Reason:

3. Substate allocation method:

(Please select the method that best describes the current practice for allocations within the state.)

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input type="radio"/> Historic | <input type="radio"/> Hold Harmless + Formula |
| <input type="radio"/> Base + Formula | <input type="radio"/> Other (please specify): |
| <input type="radio"/> Formula Alone | <input type="text"/> |
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Formula with variables | |

Part I: Section B. General Information on Local CSBG Agencies

4. Coverage of counties

- a. Percent of state's counties receiving CSBG services at year end from local CSBG operators 100 %
- b. Number of counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2005 (if any)
- c. Please list counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2005:

5. Uses of Discretionary Project Funds (if listed in Section A, Item 2.c)

a. What types of organizations received the awards?

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Indian Tribes or tribal organizations | 1. <input type="text" value="\$7,648"/> |
| 2. Migrant or farmworker organizations | 2. <input type="text" value="\$100,000"/> |
| 3. State subgrantee associations | 3. <input type="text" value="\$140,000"/> |
| 4. Eligible entities | 4. <input type="text" value="\$1,733,357"/> |
| 5. Other (please specify below): | |

Limited Purpose Agencies

5.

Total Discretionary Funds Expended

a.

b. For what purposes were the awards given?

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Awards to local agencies for expansion to new areas | 1. <input type="text" value="\$561,725"/> |
| 2. Competitive grants for exemplary or demonstration programs | 2. <input type="text" value="\$0"/> |
| 3. Training or technical assistance for local agencies | 3. <input type="text" value="\$165,000"/> |
| 4. Statewide Programs | 4. <input type="text" value="\$20,000"/> |
| 5. General support | 5. <input type="text" value="\$1,234,280"/> |
| 6. Other (please specify below): | |

Limited Purpose Agencies

6.

Total Discretionary Funds Expended

b.

The totals of a and b should match both each other and Item 2.c in Section A.

6. Please enter the total number of Hurricane Katrina & Rita Evacuees served by your agencies in FY 2005

Total Number of Individuals Served

Section C

General Information on State CSBG
Administration

Part I: Section C. General Information on State CSBG Office

State Reporting: California

1. Please identify the cabinet or administrative department of your state CSBG office.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="radio"/> Community Services Department | <input type="radio"/> Governor's Office |
| <input type="radio"/> Human Services Department | <input type="radio"/> Community Affairs Department |
| <input type="radio"/> Social Services Department | <input type="radio"/> Other (please specify): |

2. What is the division, bureau, or office of the CSBG Administrator?

California Department of Community Services and Development

3. Other programs directed by the CSBG Administrator in FY 2005:

a. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct Community Food and Nutrition Programs?

Yes ☒ No ☐

b. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct DOE Weatherization?

Yes ☒ No ☐

c. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct part or all of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)?

Yes ☒ No ☐

1) If yes, does he/she direct BOTH the fuel payments and crisis assistance LIHEAP programs?

Yes ☒ No ☐

2) or direct ONLY LIHEAP crisis assistance?

Yes ☐ No ☒

3) or direct ONLY LIHEAP energy conservation?

Yes ☐ No ☒

d. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct USDA programs? If yes, please list titles.

Yes ☐ No ☒

e. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct HUD programs? If yes, please list below:

Yes ☒ No ☐

LEAD Hazard Control Grant

f. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct any other federal programs for the homeless?

Yes ☐ No ☒

g. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct state Head Start programs?

Yes ☐ No ☒

h. How many other programs are also directed by the CSBG Administrator? (List titles of other programs below):

2

Naturalization and Utility Company Reduced Rate Programs

4. Was the state CSBG office subject to a reorganization in FY 2005, such as an expansion or contraction of programs, or a transfer of the CSBG office to a different division or department?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, please describe change (attach extra page if necessary):

5. State statute regarding CSBG:

- a. Does your state have a statute authorizing Community Services programs? (If yes, please attach.)

Yes ☒ No ☐

- b. Did your state legislature enact authorizing legislation, or amendments to an existing authorizing statute during FY 2005?

Yes ☐ No ☒

Please check those items which describe provisions of the current statute

- 1) What is the termination date of the current statute?

(mm/dd/yy)

- 2) Does it "grandfather" CAAs?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- 3) Does it specify the terms, or formula, for alloting 90% pass-through funds among eligible entities?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- 4) Does it require local grantees to match CSBG funds?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- 5) Does it provide for the designation of new eligible entities?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- 6) Does it provide for the de-designation of eligible entities?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- 7) Does it specify a process the state CSBG agency must follow to re-designate an existing eligible entity?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- 8) Does it designate the bureau, division, or office in state government that is to be the state administering agency?

Yes ☒ No ☐

- 9) If it has other provisions please list them:

6. a. Did it cost more in FY 2005 than the federally allowed limit in your state's CSBG allocation for your state to effectively administer the range of services and activities required by the CSBG Act?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- b. If yes, what was the amount of these extra costs?

- c. If yes, were state funds used to supplement federal administrative expenditures?

Yes ☐ No ☒

- d. If yes, what was the amount of the supplemental state funds?

7. a. How many state positions were funded in whole or in part by CSBG funds?

85

- b. How many Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) were funded with CSBG funds?

32

Section D

Program and Management
Accomplishments

Part I: Section D: Accomplishments and Coordination of Funds

Please do NOT use acronyms.

State Reporting: California

Please see instructions for further details and examples of the types of narratives to be submitted here.

1. What do you consider to be the top three management or program accomplishments achieved by your state CSBG administering agency during your FY 2005 program year? Briefly describe them. These can pertain to the state agency itself, or to grantees.

a. The Northern California Indian Development Council, Inc. (NCIDC) developed and implemented a comprehensive multi-user database management information system that allows them to capture all client demographic characteristics and individual service activity across multiple major service programs. The system includes the refinement of a "universal intake" system documenting Community Service Block Grant (CSBG) services, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) vouchers, and Workforce Investment Act (WIA) employment and training clients. The system is able to log and aggregate client characteristic and National Performance Indicator data. The new electronic database including the unified intake system, allows NCIDC to capture performance accomplishments of the agency within the context of Client Characteristic reports and National Performance Indicator information to relevant funding and oversight agencies.

b. The Department of Community Services and Development (CSD) in collaboration with the Association and members of the Community Action Network designed the curriculum of the annual Management Seminar. The goal of the seminars was to provide the Community Action Agencies with a foundation of information that would assist in directing their agency operations toward a higher degree of excellence. CSD held its annual Management Seminar and Program Training Workshops in January 2006. CSD awarded the Associated Community Action Partnership (ACAP) of Hayward, California, a \$22,000 discretionary grant to develop and facilitate the Management Seminar curriculum entitled "The Seven Practices of Highly Effective Organizations", a derivative of the Franklyn-Covey book "The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People". The seminar focused on seven standards adapted from the Seven Performance Standards (i.e. Board Governance, Leadership, Linkages/Capacity Building, Financial Accountability/Stability, Planning/Evaluation, Administrative Systems, Processes, and Program Delivery).

The course provided the agencies with a framework, which they could ascribe to in order to position themselves toward high effectiveness. The training allowed agency directors and management staff to take part in self-assessments, identify areas to improve work products, processes, and provided seven simple ideas, which if initiated would bring about significant results. The seminar was a success and the participants of this Management Seminar took away new insights and practices that created a solid foundation towards excellence.

c. The Department of Community Services and Development (CSD) launched the development of a comprehensive assessment tool, aimed at assessing each Community Action Agency's overall effectiveness in administering programs serving the low-income population. The assessment tool will measure areas including board governance, leadership of agency, capacity building, fiscal accountability, planning, administrative systems of the agency and program delivery. The assessment tool will be utilized by the agencies as a self-assessment on their individual performance and utilized by CSD monitors to identify both strengths and weaknesses within the organization. Additionally, CSD initiated a new Training and Technical Assistance (T&TA) Policy which will work hand-in-hand with the assessment tool. The T&TA program will address a variety of subject matter through a variety of means. CSD worked to develop an agreement among the existing associations within California. Each association will be granted funds to develop aspects of the training program. Depending on the needs of the agency, the appropriate association will work jointly with the Community Action Agency and CSD to address and improve any identified areas of weakness. The goal of both projects is to assist the agencies in meeting their full potential and become successful and effective organizations within each community. In assisting the agencies in developing their service delivery strategy and overall capacity, CSD is working to ensure that the low-income population in California receives the best possible services. Both projects are currently being developed through collaborative means, including wide-spread network participation. Both the assessment tool and the developed T&TA program will be utilized in the upcoming program year.

2. Please provide at least three narrative or anecdotal accounts of how agency programs, funded at least in part by CSBG (a) eliminated a cause of poverty, or (b) eliminated a condition of poverty so that one or more households were moved out of poverty status. Please indicate whether the activity was completely funded by the CSBG, or if not; why the CSBG was or was not important to the outcome.

a. With housing prices in Southern California skyrocketing between 15-25% every year since 1998, the dream of home ownership is one that is beyond the grasp of most low-income residents in the region. Beginning in 2002, Long Beach Community Services Development Corporation built a coalition of private finance (Farmers & Merchants Bank, Bank of America, Washington Mutual, Union Bank of California, California Bank & Trust), community activism (Community Improvement League, Empower Long Beach Association, Mt. Carmel Cambodian Center, Long Beach Ministerial Alliance, Long Beach Affordable Housing Coalition), municipal government (Housing Authority of the City of Long Beach, Career Transition Center) and private business (Marina Mortgage, Community Credit Counseling, Functional Analysis) to address the need to stabilize neighborhoods and increase the assets of low-income residents throughout Long Beach through home ownership. The result was the development of the Individual Development Account (IDA) Program, which assists participants to become financially literate and to achieve the situational stability and economic improvement that come from owning a home. The first fruits of this collaborative effort came in June 2005, with the first home purchase by an IDA Program participant. A single mother of five working full-time and receiving Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), joined the IDA Program in October 2002 with a single goal (buy a home in which to raise her children) and a daunting obstacle (find a way to save \$75 per month from a brutally tight budget). She learned to budget her spending wisely through the program's financial training, which enabled her to open a savings account in March 2003. After 24 months of diligent saving, B.E. achieved her savings goal of \$1,800, which is matched at a 3-to-1 rate by the IDA Program. In June 2005, the dream of buying a home for her children came true, when she became the proud owner of a three-bedroom, two-bathroom home on a 5500 square foot lot in Rosewood, California.

The Community Services Block Grant paid for the salary and benefits of this agency's program director, which was responsible for building the IDA Program collaborative; soliciting operating funds from the United Way of Greater Los Angeles; and obtaining savings match funds from the City of Long Beach and local banks.

- b. The Watson family, with two children ages eight and ten, contacted Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency in February, 2005 needing help. The Watsons never dreamed they would need our agency's help –until their landlord of five years gave them 30 days to move because his daughter needed the house. While the husband had a steady job, the family had no savings. The Watsons weren't prepared for Tuolumne County's skyrocketing rents and extreme housing shortage. With nowhere to turn, they arrived at the Sonora shelter. Like most of our agency's homeless shelter residents, they were scared, uncertain, protective, and determined to get their family back on its feet. For the boys, those were bewildering days. Our shelter coordinator gave each boy his own "welcome bag" with a pillow, a book, a small toy and a toothbrush – a few things to help a child feel a little more at ease in strange surroundings. Although the boys were now miles from their school, the shelter staff made sure they never missed class or soccer practice. While their parents saved every cent toward moving costs, the shelter staff, with help from community donations and volunteers, made sure the boys got little things they needed, like soccer shoes, and money for a class field trip. Six weeks later, the children's parents finally found an apartment and had saved enough to pay the deposits. They weathered the storm. Additionally, the family was accepted into Sonora's first-time home buyers program. Like many families our agency has helped, the family stopped by to let us know how they're doing and bring by in-kind gifts that may help other families.
- "When we had nowhere to turn, they opened their arms to us without prejudice, and made us feel welcome" one of the boys mother said. "They gave us time to save and told us about programs that were available that we never would have known about."

- c. The Connections Program is fifty percent funded by Child Protective Services and fifty percent funded by CSBG. The program could not exist without both sources of funding. A grant from California Child Protection Services provides for the salaries of personnel; the CSBG allocation funds the office space, utilities, telephone, vehicles, taxes, supervision, and administration.
- The Connections Program is a home visiting program for at risk families, most of who are referred to the program by Inyo County Child Protection Services. The Smith family (not real name) was referred to the program just as three of the parents children were returned to the family after being in foster care for a period of months. The Parents had been abusing drugs, but were now sober. When our home visitor first went into the home it was in unhealthy conditions. The bathroom and kitchen were filled with mold, the dishes hadn't been washed in some time, there was no food in the refrigerator or cupboard, and the children didn't have bedding.
- Collaborating with other agencies, including the local Salvation Army, Child Protection Services, Behavioral Health, and the School System our home visitor got to work teaching the mother how to shop for clothes at thrift stores, how to buy food for the whole family, how to purchase basic needs, and set aside specific periods of times for household cleaning chores. The mother said that our home visitor helped keep her sane. In addition to working with the family on their goals at the home, the home visitor accompanied the family to stores and their Narcotics Anonymous meetings. Gradually things improved. The children were no longer dressed inappropriately. The House maintained an appropriate level of sanitation. Everyone had the necessary bedding to sleep on.
- While this family is still enrolled in our program, they no longer require intense weekly visits with our home visitor. Child Protection Services and Behavioral Health are no longer involved. The family is still considered at risk because of the past drug use and current marital problems, but as a whole the family is no longer hungry and living in poverty.

3. Please provide a description of three innovative programs funded at least in part by the CSBG that have demonstrated success in eliminating a cause or causes of poverty and/or a condition(s) of poverty.

- a. Our Adolescent Services Department is partially funded by CSBG. One of the major programs of that department is the SMART Program. This program, funded mostly by the federal government, teaches adolescents to abstain from sexual behavior (along with how to make other "SMART" life choices). This is a purely medical-based program that was developed right here at KCAO. Teen pregnancy and teen parenthood is a major producer of poverty. Kings County has traditionally had the first or second highest teen pregnancy rate in the state of California. Since its development in 2004, through comprehensive programs and supportive services, partially funded by CSBG, Kings County's teen pregnancy rate has dropped to eighth in the state! That is a huge achievement in one year's time.
- b. Project BLISS (Building Links Impacts Self-Sufficiency). The purpose of Project BLISS is to match a working low-income family to a Circle of Support of 3-4 allies. Allies are volunteer mentors from all economic levels in the community who provide encouragement, information, suggestions, and motivation to help their assigned family overcome poverty barriers. In a Circle of Support, the adult and children family members prepare a family plan and budget. The allies offer guidance and support to help the family achieve these plans. Benefits of the program are increased stakeholders, strengthened support resources; and self-sufficient families who are no longer dependent on public assistance.
- CAP Riverside implemented Project BLISS in 2004 as a demonstration program in two of five county districts with initially four families (21 individuals) and fourteen allies. In 2005, Circles of Supports were implemented in the three remaining county districts. Twenty-five families were enrolled and 31 allies were recruited; each district had five families. Three countywide social service agencies were contracted in 2005 (total \$45,000 CSBG Funds) to facilitate Circles in the three additional county districts. Eleven students from a local university volunteered to provide twelve months of outreach support to the program, which continues through 2006. Allies provided in excess of 1,488 hours in volunteer services. \$170,000 in CSBG funds supported this program.
- Project BLISS families continue to succeed by maintaining enrollment in college, acquiring higher paying jobs, chairing Circle of Support family meetings, and establishing/maintaining family plans and budgets. One family that enrolled in 2004 felt satisfied with their improvements and withdrew from the program.
- Project BLISS supports the CSBG Service Category: Goal 1 – Low-income people will become more self-sufficient.

- c. In 2003, the Sonora Area Foundation conducted a series of comprehensive needs assessments for Tuolumne County residents, and concluded that there was a substantial lack of awareness of community-based services in most demographic groups. As an example, in a survey of 2,500 older residents, 80% of the respondents reported that they were unaware of phone numbers for services for senior assistance. Not only is access to the information about the services important, but access through transportation to the services is difficult. The mountainous terrain, inclement weather, and lack of proper transportation make access to services extremely difficult. This is an especially critical problem for young, poor, and elderly residents who do not drive, do not own a vehicle, or cannot afford gasoline. Most of the services for the 2,234 square mile county are located in the only incorporated city, Sonora (population of less than 4,500). However, 92% of the approximately 53,000 residents live in small communities outside Sonora city limits. For residents who do not have private transportation, accessing the services is difficult, if not impossible. Furthermore, the climate of many mountainous regions of the community adds to the transportation problem. Exacerbating transportation problems, winters are quite wet and cold. Higher elevations often have more than 20 feet of snow per season.\

In response to these identified needs, Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency's InfoNet project was designed to strengthen the health of the community by increasing access to physical and mental health, and social services. Furthermore, Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency wanted the people who needed the basic services of food, shelter and warmth to be able to get what they need to survive and then to flourish. With the help of CSBG funds, as well as a grant from both the Sonora Area Foundation and the California Endowment Foundation, Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency was able to leverage additional funds through the Department of Commerce Technical Opportunities Program grant to design and implement a sustainable, easy-to-use Information and Assistance service that increased public knowledge of and access to the local health and social services programs. What began as a pilot project in Tuolumne County was then expanded into Amador and Calaveras counties, targeting low-income households, homeless, seniors, people with disabilities, Latinos and Native Americans. Underserved and isolated families have been kept involved in planning and providing input through annual focus groups and InfoNet surveys, and a very active coalition of key stakeholders have contributed extensively in the development of this project. Since the program's inception we have had two community forums where clients and health and human service providers have been invited to attend and participate in developing strategic plans.

By the end of 2005, the InfoNet Project has three active Information & Assistance websites in Amador, Calaveras and Tuolumne counties (Infonettc.org, Infonetac.org and Infonetca.org) serving over 300 visitors a day, with more than 12,500 visitors per month. The three websites contain 830 health and human service agency/program listings made up of nonprofit and public service organizations, and provides detailed information in a uniform fashion about the region's local services such as hours of operation, contact info, description of services, eligibility requirements, fees for services, accessibility accommodations for people with disabilities, languages available, application attachments and much more. There is an administrative back end for managing new data coming in and automated emails to enable organizations to efficiently update information every six months. The user-friendly interface and navigation system streamlines access to community information and improves community communications in and between each county. Additionally, to increase access to the information, the Information & Assistance website data can be printed in hard copy.

Thirteen Neighborhood Information Centers were established to address the transportation and technology access issues for residents. These centers are located in libraries, family resource/learning centers, shelters and American Indian Centers offering internet-access computers and trained staff to help users. These sites provide local residents with technological tools to access online services such as InfoNet for assistance in navigating the complex social service system, benefit calculators, producing credit reports, accessing legal services, enabling patrons to send emails to family and friends or helping children with homework and much more. The Neighborhood Information Centers had over 20,000 visitors in 2005.

Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency's InfoNet Information-and-Referral project is an excellent example of an innovative program funded in part by CSBG that has demonstrated success in addressing conditions of poverty in a rural region by improving access to community services for low income residents of Amador, Calaveras and Tuolumne counties.

4. Please describe one project or activity that linked resources from several sources to mobilize or coordinate a solution to a poverty problem in the community. The point is to show CSBG "at work" as it funds staff activities, investments or services that meet a previously unmet community need.

Program Name: Community Disaster Preparedness Program

Purpose: To prepare citizens to respond to a disaster in the community.

Need for Program: Mendocino County's largely mountainous terrain traversed by narrow winding roads link the county's scattered communities. A major disaster would severely restrict the response capability of emergency services. Surviving resources will be quickly overwhelmed. Residents could be without response for at least 72 hours or longer. Preparation for citizens is an essential part of the overall response. Recent budget cuts in Mendocino County have negatively effected the emergency training for the community which has serious consequences for the low-income and the under served populations. The economic effects of disaster are devastating to a community; citizen preparation can help alleviate some of the effects.

CSBG Service Category: Emergency Services

Role of your agency in program: Several of North Coast Opportunities (NCO) programs which included the Retired & Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP), the Volunteer Network and the Community Action Program were aware of this need and began to work with other agencies in the community. Keeping the needs of the low-income population as well as the elderly was an aspect that we brought to the planning. In collaboration with the Mendocino Emergency Service Authority (MESA) we developed an advisory committee, identified needs, and wrote and submitted a successful Ameri-corp Vista grant. By using CSBG funds and through the Volunteer Network and RSVP program

NCO has been able to provide insurance for citizens as they are being trained in emergency response. This lack of insurance had prevented the local fire departments and other emergency response programs the ability to work with citizens in the past. NCO houses and supervises the VISTA volunteers that are working on this project. NCO has committed \$4,325 CSBG funds; \$500 in RSVP funds (raised through local fundraising efforts) as well as staff time to this project. In addition to insurance the funds will be used to purchase training kits for low-income participants.

Partners and Partner roles:

- ☐ Mendocino Emergency Service Authority (MESA) role is to assist with organizing and delivering the train the trainer program; assist with training the VISTA members, pay mileage, pay for the training kits and manuals. They are also working with the fire departments to ensure participation in the program. They have committed \$30,000 to this project.
- ☐ The local fire departments include: Ft. Bragg, Pt. Arena, Willits, Boonville, Brooktrails, Elk, Hopland, Mendocino, Potter Valley, Laytonville, as well as the Red Cross. These agencies have volunteers that are taking the train-the-trainer class. These trained individuals are then organizing training in their area for citizen groups.
- ☐ Several local churches are involved including the Methodist, Catholic, Adventist and Lutheran. They are helping to recruit citizens as well as raise money for kits for low-income people. The Department of Public Health and the Department of Social Service will assist with identification of home bound seniors.

CSBG involvement (planning, coordinating, funding, guaranteeing loans):

CSBG funds \$4,325 and staff salaries (.25) has supported the planning and coordination of this project as well as the supervision of the VISTA volunteers. CSBG funds have also been used to support the staff space that is devoted to this project.

Type of funding (monetary, in-kind, services, etc.) contributed by each partner:

Mendocino Emergency Service Authority (MESA) -- \$30,000 to be used for mileage and training of VISTA Volunteers, kits, manuals, training supplies, etc. All fire departments are providing volunteers to train citizens and space for training (11 agencies x \$800 = \$8800 in in-kind contributions).

Description of Program:

This program provides essential emergency training to trainers who become CERT trainers, who then organize and provide training in their neighborhoods. As citizens are trained our communities become better prepared to deal with disasters. By using existing resources such as the RSVP & Volunteer Network and MESA we believe we will be able to maintain a system that delivers appropriate disaster response and preparedness services to low-income communities. A total of 25 people were trained as trainers. Three community trainings have been organized and an additional 75 citizens are signed up to take the course.

Impact and Results:

The VISTA Volunteer recruited and assisted with the first train the trainer program. 25 people were trained as trainers. Three community trainings have been organized and an additional 75 citizens are signed up to take the course. A Human Race team is currently being organized. This team will raise funds for emergency preparedness kits for low-income families. By the end of the three year period it is expected that 360 trainers will have been trained with an additional 1,000 citizens.

5. Please provide a brief description of one youth and one senior focused initiative that describes how the CSBG funds that you will list in Table 2 of Section E were used and coordinated with other programs and resources.

Include the following elements for Youth and Senior:

- a) Amount contributed by other programs, and partners
- b) Role of other programs and partners
- c) Result and Impact

Youth Initiative:

The Northern California Indian Development Council, Inc. has developed the Tobacco Education Network (TEN), it's partners and donations consist of the following: The Tobacco Use Prevention Education (TUPE) program operated by NCIDC, St. Joseph's Hospital, Firepals (non-profit safety agency), Eureka City Schools, Camp Fire, Humboldt County Public Health, American Cancer Society and Humboldt County Office Of Education. Contributions to the program have been outpouring in-kind services and donations include: St. Joseph's Hospital in-kind services include: ☐ \$1,000 Personnel, Firepals (non-profit safety agency) ☐ \$1,000 Personnel, Eureka City Schools ☐ \$3,000, Personnel, Camp Fire ☐ \$3,000 Personnel, Humboldt County Public Health ☐ \$10,000 Personnel, Incentives, Technical Assistance; American Cancer Society ☐ \$7,000 Personnel, Facility Use, Incentives; Humboldt County Office Of Education ☐ \$4,000 Personnel, California Rural Indian Health Board ☐ \$1,600 Cash Mini-grant, State of California, Department of Education ☐ \$30,200 Tobacco Use Prevention Education Grant and State of California, Community Services Department ☐ \$15,000. CSBG funding is used to provide operational oversight of the program including staff time and non-personnel expenses not covered by the core Education grant. There are monthly Tobacco Education Network (TEN) meetings to coordinate tobacco education issues. Through these meetings three age-specific Sacred Tobacco Education Booklets were created, 15 special presentations were held to educate youth about health risks of commercial tobacco abuse versus the traditional use of Native American Tobacco. Additionally, ongoing coordination with coalition partners to provide cessation services and community education distributed over 200 Native American-specific "Quit Kits".

The above results provided the following positive impact:
1259 youth contacts with 923 positive outcomes, either quitting smoking for a specified period or continuing not to smoke.

AdultInitiative:

The Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo County's (EOCSLO) Senior Health Screening Program is a preventive/maintenance health screening and education program assisting low-income-county seniors age 50 and older to understand and monitor chronic disease and aging issues. Clinics are conducted monthly at 20 senior-focused sites. The staff consists of a registered nurse and a clerk/aide, augmented by volunteer hearing and vision specialists. Services include: blood pressure, pulse, hemoglobin, blood glucose, cholesterol, and glaucoma screening; hemoccult readings to detect colo-rectal cancer; hearing screening; and flu vaccines. Seniors receive a wallet card on which staff records test findings, current health issues and medications. Education, counseling and referral services are also provided. Educational topics include diet and nutrition, exercise, lifestyle modification, medication usage and compliance, disease conditions, elder abuse, bereavement issues, and community resources. Referrals are made to other health, social and legal services. The program collaborates with other agencies to sponsor street fairs, health fairs and other community events, and works with entities such as HealthPlus Pharmacy, Area Agency on Aging, San Luis Obispo County Library, American Heart Association, Senior Nutrition, and the Forty Wonderful Project, as well as 16 churches, senior centers, low income housing units and senior living facilities. Other collaborative entities that coordinate services and resources, and cross-refer for patient services include: pharmacies, public and private social service agencies, Area Agency on Aging, County Library, American Heart Association, Senior Nutrition, Braille Mobile Van, and Hospice Partners. The Program also collaborates with volunteer hearing and vision professionals to provide specialized services and with staff/volunteers of the senior and community centers, apartment complexes, churches, and other sites where clinics are operated. The Program has a \$90,000 annual budget, funded by a Preventive Health grant of \$24,300, local Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Public Services funding and Grants-in-Aid from non-CDBG cities totaling \$19,201; \$5000 from United Way, \$20,000 from patient donations, and \$22,000 from community donations. CSBG funding helps provide planning, resource development and reporting assistance to ensure that services continue, as well as support for core services. In 2005, this program won the United Way Innovation Award for Collaboration for its work with other organizations to improve efficiency and effectiveness in achieving community objectives. As a result of the significant physician shortage in this county, many seniors either do not have their own physician or are unable to see their physician in a timely manner. Consequently many do not seek care until there is an emergency situation. The availability of scheduled, convenient Senior Health Screening clinics ensures that seniors can access regular medical screening and staff can alert them to conditions for which they need to seek diagnosis and care from a physician. Clinics are conducted regularly at local churches, senior centers, residential facilities, mobile home parks, and other locations where seniors already gather, thus addressing lack of transportation, a major concern for this target population.

The delivery of affordable quality health care is a serious need for local seniors living on fixed incomes. When seniors are poor, non-English speaking, or geographically or culturally isolated, the physical process of aging becomes more difficult for them, their families, healthcare givers, and communities. Seniors without access to affordable health care remain at risk for undetected health hazards or even life-threatening conditions. Basic health screening, education and preventive care is critical to the physical, mental and social well-being of our senior community.

EOCSLO's Senior Health Screening is a reliable and cost-effective means of helping one of the most vulnerable segments of the community weather our local healthcare crisis without experiencing financial ruin or neglecting serious health conditions. More than 690 seniors attended one or more health screening clinics in 2005.

Section E

CSBG Expenditures by Service Category &
Demographics

STATEWIDE AGGREGATED DATA

TABLE 22-3
CSBG EXPENDITURES BY SERVICE CATEGORY

Table 1: Funding By Service Category and Funding Source, FY 2005

Service Category	# of Agencies Reporting (State will compile)	CSBG Funds
1. Employment	30	\$7,356,704
2. Education	42	\$7,693,846
3. Income Management	22	\$1,467,661
4. Housing	37	\$3,727,048
5. Emergency Services	54	\$6,652,701
6. Nutrition	45	\$4,089,121
7. Linkages	38	\$7,556,520
8. Self Sufficiency	40	\$8,899,230
9. Health	29	\$2,408,058
10. Other	5	\$511,033
Totals:	63	\$50,361,921

Of the CSBG funds reported above, \$11,323,237 were for administration.
(Please consult the instructions regarding what constitutes "administration")

Table 2: (Of the funding listed in Table 1) Funds by Demographic Category , FY 2005

Demographic Category	# of Agencies Reporting (State will compile)	CSBG Funds
1. Youth	46	\$7,919,541
2. Seniors	40	\$4,511,449

Section F

Other Resources Administrated and
Generated by the CSBG Network

STATEWIDE AGGREGATED DATA

Part I: Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network

Subsection II. State Resources

- a. State appropriated funds used for the same purpose as federal CSBG funds

a.

Note: Verify with State Administrator that this figure matches state submission in Section A, Item 5

- b. State housing and homeless programs
c. State nutrition programs
d. State day care and early childhood programs
e. State energy programs
f. State health programs
g. State youth development programs
h. State employment and training programs
i. State Head Start programs
j. State senior programs
K. State Transportation Programs
l. State Education Programs
m. State Community and Economic Development Program
n. State Rural Development Programs

b.	\$4,115,434
c.	\$659,045
d.	\$51,746,327
e.	\$3,972,161
f.	\$3,723,010
g.	\$1,578,610
h.	\$5,462,441
i.	\$465,302
j.	\$2,597,347
k.	\$1,685,072
l.	\$6,163,349
m.	\$1,646,197
n.	\$369,956

- k. Other State Sources (list in order of size):**

Funding Amounts

1)	Dept. of Social Serv., Tuolumne Co.
2)	Child Abuse Prev. & Interv. Treatment
3)	First Five, Tuolumne and Amador Counties
4)	
5)	
6)	
7)	
8)	
9)	
10)	
11)	
12)	
13)	

\$4,368,992
\$1,901,795
\$545,209
\$198,466
\$6,673

Total Funding
Amount,
State Other

ALL OTHER:

k.

The Other State Sources summary report pick up the first name in the database and sum all of the funds in each line. This is not a reflection of what was actually funded by name. Retrieve this information in the detail reports.

TOTAL: STATE RESOURCES

\$91,205,386

If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I (Federal Resources), please estimate the amount.

\$178,503

Part I: Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated

Subsection III. Local Resources

- | | |
|--|--|
| a. Amount of unrestricted funds appropriated by local government | a. <input type="text" value="\$20,706,187"/> |
| b. Value of Contract Services. | b. <input type="text" value="\$13,853,062"/> |
| c. Value of in-kind goods/services received from local government. | c. <input type="text" value="\$6,097,191"/> |

TOTAL: LOCAL PUBLIC RESOURCES

If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I or II, please estimate the amount

Subsection IV. Private Sector Resources

- | | |
|---|--|
| a. Funds from foundations, corporations, United Ways, other non-profits | a. <input type="text" value="\$21,596,559"/> |
| b. Other donated funds | b. <input type="text" value="\$18,898,011"/> |
| c. Value of other donated items, food clothing, furniture, et | c. <input type="text" value="\$5,413,086"/> |
| d. Value of in-kind services received from businesses | d. <input type="text" value="\$3,707,520"/> |
| e. Fees paid by clients for services | e. <input type="text" value="\$10,180,534"/> |
| Number of agencies responding to this item | <input type="text" value="28"/> |
| f. Payments by private entities for goods or services for low-income clients or communities | f. <input type="text" value="\$9,512,053"/> |
| Number of agencies responding to this item | <input type="text" value="14"/> |
| g. Number of volunteer hours donated: | g. <input type="text" value="3,782,651"/> |

TOTAL: PRIVATE SECTOR RESOURCES

If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I, II or III, please estimate the amount.

TOTAL: ALL OTHER RESOURCES
(FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, PRIVATE)
less amount of double count in Subsection II, III, IV

Section G

Client Characteristics

STATEWIDE AGGREGATED DATA

Part I: Section G: Program Participant Characteristics

1. Number of Agencies Reporting		63	2. Total resources in agencies reporting, including CSBG		\$681,559,938
3. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom one or more characteristics were obtained		741,078			
4. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom no characteristics were obtained		1,440,690			
5. Total unduplicated number of families about whom one or more characteristics were obtained		350,229			
6. Total unduplicated number of families about whom no characteristics were obtained		195,678			

7. Gender		NUMBER OF PERSONS*		13. Family Size		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***	
a.	Male		313,772	a.	One		70,468
b.	Female		387,553	b.	Two		41,007
TOTAL*			701,325	c.	Three		34,995
				d.	Four		33,824
8. Age		NUMBER OF PERSONS*		e.	Five		22,554
a.	0-5		149,531	f.	Six		10,878
b.	6-11		42,720	g.	Seven		4,717
c.	12-17		55,563	h.	Eight or more		3,808
d.	18-23		58,639	TOTAL***			222,251
e.	24-44		113,935				
f.	45-54		49,754	14. Source of Family Income		NUMBER OF FAMILIES	
g.	55-69		47,304	a.	Unduplicated # of Families Reporting One or More Sources of Income***		27,380
h.	70+		44,857	b.	No Income		245,062
TOTAL*			562,303	c.	TANF		26,505
				d.	SSI		54,034
9. Ethnicity/Race		NUMBER OF PERSONS*		e.	Social Security		45,638
I. Ethnicity				f.	Pension		41,913
a.	Hispanic or Latino		273,978	g.	General Assistance		6,492
b.	Not Hispanic or Latino		245,282	h.	Unemployment Insurance		6,062
TOTAL*			519,260	i.	Employment + other sources		11,815
				j.	Employment only		22,739
II. Race				k.	Other		58,832
a.	African American		61,258	15. Level of Family Income (% of HHS Guideline)		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***	
b.	White		182,393	a.	Up to 50%		57,636
c.	Other		118,078	b.	51% to 75%		37,851
d.	Multi-race (any 2 or more of the above)		32,928	c.	76% to 100%		43,656
TOTAL*			394,657	d.	101% to 125%		20,741
				e.	126% to 150%		27,596
10. Education level of adult		Number of Persons 24 and Older**		f.	151% and over		16,080
a.	0-8		43,641	TOTAL***			203,560
b.	9-12/non-graduates		69,290				
c.	high school grad/GED		53,861	16. Housing		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***	
d.	12+ some post secondary		24,549	a.	Own		31,901
e.	2 or 4 yr college graduates		9,945	b.	Rent		137,266
TOTAL**			201,286	c.	Homeless		21,485
				d.	Other		13,119
11. Other Characteristics		NUMBER OF PERSONS*		TOTAL***			203,771
		# persons	# surveyed				
a.	No health insurance	110,245	398,988				
b.	Disabled	53,657	367,630				
12. Family Type		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***					
a.	Single parent/female		53,485				
b.	Single parent/male		6,748				
c.	Two- parent household		89,345				
d.	Single person		67,827				
e.	Two adults/no children		23,012				
f.	Other		7,301				
TOTAL***			247,718				

* The sum of this category should not exceed the value of Item 3.

** The sum of this category should not exceed the value of Items 8 e-h

*** The sum of this category should not exceed the value of Item 5.

Part II

Outcomes of Efforts National Performance Indicators

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator

1.1

Employment

The number and percentage of low-income participants in community action employment initiatives who get a job or become self-employed as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
A. Unemployed and obtained a job	39	17664	10289	9176	89.2%
B. Employed and obtained an increase in employment income	29	4101	2848	1866	65.5%
C. Achieved "living wage" employment	21	3659	2998	2046	68.2%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

California

Goal 5: Agencies increase their capacity to achieve results.

National Performance Indicator 5.1

Broadening the Resource Base

The number of dollars mobilized by community action, including amounts and percentages from:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Dollars Mobilized (\$)	Percentage Of Total (%)
A. Community Services Block Grant (CSBG)	63	\$45,894,549.70	7.7%
B. Non-CSBG Federal Programs	58	\$332,665,632.11	56.2%
C. State Programs	45	\$71,974,281.98	12.1%
D. Local Public Funding	43	\$65,036,900.00	11.0%
E. Private Sources (including foundations and individual contributors, goods and services donated)	45	\$57,831,446.30	9.8%
F. Value of Volunteer Time	56	\$18,990,070.75	3.2%
Additional			0.0%
TOTAL		\$592,392,880.84	100%

* Please report using the totals found in Part I: Section F, Subsection I - IV of the CSBG/IS Survey.

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator

1.2

Employment Supports

The number of low-income participants for whom barriers to initial or continuous employment are reduced or eliminated through assistance from community action as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Obtained pre-employment skills/competencies required for employment and received training program certificate or diploma	37	11874	9114
B. Completed ABE/GED and received certificate or diploma	31	5125	3205
C. Completed post-secondary education program and obtained certificate or diploma	14	1907	1407
D. Enrolled children in before or after school programs, in order to gain or maintain employment	20	5282	5673
E. Obtained care for child or other dependant in order to gain or maintain employment	29	13637	13734
F. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license in order to gain or maintain employment	25	3728	2090
G. Obtained health care services for themselves or a family member in support of family stability needed to gain or retain employment	22	7005	5793
H. Obtained safe and affordable housing in support of family stability needed to gain or retain employment	33	7626	6399
I. Obtained food assistance in support of family stability needed to gain or retain employment	29	163635	162898

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator

1.3

Economic Asset Enhancement and Utilization

The number and percentage of low-income households that achieve an increase in financial assets and/or financial skills as a result of community action assistance, and the aggregated amount of those assets and resources for all participants achieving the outcome, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Aggregated Dollar Amounts (Payments, Credits or Savings)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Enhancement 1. Number and percent of participants in tax preparation programs who identify any type of Federal or State tax credit and the aggregated dollar amount of credits	20	21708	14286	21497	\$20,346,618.00	150.5%
Enhancement 2. Number and percentage obtained court-ordered child support payments and the expected annual aggregated dollar amount of payments	7	334	328	317	\$34,168.00	96.6%
Enhancement 3. Number and percentage enrolled in telephone lifeline and/or energy discounts with the assistance of the agency and the expected aggregated dollar amount of savings	24	45853	35198	49229	\$4,607,893.93	139.9%
Utilization 1. Number and percent demonstrating ability to complete and maintain a budget for over 90 days	17	3330	2193	1905	\$551,346.00	86.9%
Utilization 2. Number and percent opening an Individual Development Account (IDA) or other savings account and increased savings, and the aggregated amount of savings	15	1412	639	1095	\$466,169.00	171.4%
Utilization 3a. Number and percent capitalizing a small business with accumulated savings	8	115	76	71	\$152,487.00	93.4%
Utilization 3b. Number and percent pursuing post-secondary education with savings	8	121	84	83	\$278,617.00	98.8%
Utilization 3c. Number and percent purchasing a home with accumulated savings	7	318	85	43	\$872,148.00	50.6%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.1

Community Improvement and Revitalization

Increase in, or preservation of opportunities and community resources or services for low-income people in the community as a result of community action projects/initiatives or advocacy with other public and private agencies, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Projects or Initiatives (#)	Number of Opportunities and/or Community Resources Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Accessible "living wage" jobs created or saved from reduction or elimination in t	9	1343	1501
B. Safe and affordable housing units created in the community	9	196	353
C. Safe and affordable housing units in the community preserved or improved through construction, weatherization or rehabilitation achieved by community action activity or advocacy	31	16544	21575
D. Accessible and affordable health care services/facilities for low-income people created or saved from reduction or elimination	15	8301	22542
E. Accessible safe and affordable child care or child development placement opportunities for low-income families created or saved from reduction or elimination	16	10148	12982
F. Accessible before school and after school program placement opportunities for low-income families created or saved from reduction or elimination	15	2541	7370
G. Accessible new or expanded transportation resources, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available to low-income people, including	17	1774	5188
H. Accessible or increased educational and training placement opportunities, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available for low-income people in the community, including vocational, literacy, and life skill	18	3429	3754

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.2

Community Quality of Life and Assets

The quality of life and assets in low-income neighborhoods are improved by community action initiative or advocacy, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Program Initiatives or Advocacy Efforts (#)	Number of Community Assets, Services, or Facilities Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Increases in community assets as a result of a change in law, regulation or policy, which results in improvements in quality of life and assets	4	6	5
B. Increase in the availability or preservation of community facilities.	15	61	4004
C. Increase in the availability or preservation of community services to improve public health and safety.	16	3210	5370
D. Increase in the availability or preservation of commercial services within low-income neighborhoods.	3	6	303
E. Increase or preservation of neighborhood quality-of-life resources.	9	82010	2183250

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

National Performance Indicator 3.1

Civic Investment

The number of volunteer hours donated to Community
Action.

Eligible Entities
Reporting (#)

Total Number of
Volunteer Hours
(#)

Total number of hours volunteered to community action.*

56

3687392

* Please report the number found in Part I: Section F, Subsection IV (g) of the CSBG/IS Survey.

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

California

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

National Performance Indicator

3.2

Community Empowerment Through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of low-income people mobilized as a direct result of community action initiative to engage in activities that support and promote their own well-being and that of their community as measured by one or more of the following:

Total Number of
Low-Income People
(#)

Eligible Entities
Reporting (#)

A. Number of low-income people participating in formal community organizations, government, boards or councils that provide input to decision-making and policy setting through community action efforts.

54

2987

B. Number of low-income people acquiring businesses in their community as a result of community action assistance.

48

445

C. Number of low-income people purchasing their own homes in their community as a result of community action assistance.

13

206

D. Number of low-income people engaged in non-governance community activities or groups created or supported by community action.

16

78892

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 4: Partnerships among supporters and providers of services to low-income people are achieved.

National Performance Indicator 4.1

Expanding Opportunities Through Community-Wide Partnerships

The number of organizations, both public and private, community action actively works with to expand resources and opportunities in order to achieve family and community outcomes.

Eligible Entities
Reporting
(#)

Number of
Organizational
Partnerships
(#)

Number of
These Partnerships
That Were With
Faith-Based
Organizations
(#)

Number of organizations community action agencies work with to promote family and community outcomes.

60

5563

869

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.1

Independent Living

The number of vulnerable Individuals receiving services from community action that maintain an independent living situation as a result of those services:

Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Vulnerable Individuals Living Independently (#)
------------------------------------	---

A. Senior Citizens

41

398324

B. Individuals with Disabilities

32

29531

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.2

Emergency Assistance

The number of low-income individuals or families served by community action that sought emergency assistance and the percentage* of those households for which assistance was provided, including such services as:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Units of Measurement	Number Seeking Assistance (#)	Number Receiving Assistance (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
A. Food –Indicate your state's unit of measurement, such as bags, packages, cartons, families, individuals, etc.	57		2768898	2768751	100.0%
B. Emergency Vendor Payments, including Fuel and Energy Bills and Rent/Mortgage Payments	48	Households	93639	97767	104.4%
C. Temporary Shelter	45	Households	54455	53613	98.5%
D. Emergency Medical Care	17	Households	8109	7877	97.1%
E. Protection from Violence	22	Households	18887	18842	99.8%
F. Legal Assistance	21	Households	49178	48736	99.1%
G. Transportation	30	Households	19737	19593	99.3%
H. Disaster Relief	7	Households	9670	9662	99.9%
I. Clothing		Households			0.0%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2005

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.3

Child and Family Development

The number and percentage* of all infants, children, youth, parents, and other adults participating in developmental or enrichment programs that achieve program goals, as measured by one or more of the following:

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Infant and Child 1. Infants and children obtain age appropriate immunizations, medical and dental care.	25	28492	27519	30374	110.4%
Infant and Child 2. Infant and child health and physical development are improved as a result of adequate nutrition.	23	119759	107204	115503	107.7%
Infant and Child 3. Children participate in pre-school activities to develop school readiness skills.	26	19370	17660	18372	104.0%
Infant and Child 4. Children who participate in pre-school activities are developmentally ready to enter Kindergarten or 1st Grade.	23	15511	13227	13629	103.0%
Youth 1. Youth improve physical health and development.	22	29226	12949	21800	168.4%
Youth 2. Youth improve social/emotional development.	30	17670	18573	15905	85.6%
Youth 3. Youth avoid risk-taking behavior for a defined period of time.	26	18052	12142	16865	138.9%
Youth 4. Youth have reduced involvement with criminal justice system.	21	10704	9454	9224	97.6%
Youth 5. Youth increase academic, athletic or social skills for school success by participating in before or after school programs.	29	26742	8830	19730	223.4%
Adult 1. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved parenting skills.	31	16814	15503	18164	117.2%
Adult 2. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved family functioning skills.	30	15569	14725	17427	118.3%

Attachment A

Listing of CSBG Eligible Entities

California Department of Community Services and Development (CSD)
2005 CSBG/IS Part I, Section B
List of Eligible Entities Receiving 2005 Funds

<i>Contract Number</i>	<i>Agency</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>Public or Private Agency</i>	<i>2005 Award Amount**</i>
Community Action Agencies				
4600	Amador-Tuolumne CAA	935 South State Hwy. 49 Jackson, CA 95642	Public	\$173,556
4601	Associated Community Action Program	24100 Amador Street, 6th Floor Hayward, CA 94544-1203	Public	\$541,124
4602	Berkeley CAA	2180 Milvia Street, 2nd Floor Berkeley, CA 94704	Public	\$173,556
4603	CAA of Butte	2255 Del Oro Avenue Oroville, CA 95965	Private	\$348,463
4604	Calaveras-Mariposa CAA	5200 Highway 49 -- North Mariposa, CA 95338	Private	\$173,556
4605	Campesinos Unidos (CUI)	1005 C Street Brawley, CA 92227	Private	\$264,279
4606	Center for Community and Family Services	37 E. Villa Street Pasadena, CA 91103	Private	\$342,181
4607	Community Services & Employment Training	909 West Murray Visalia, CA 93291	Private	\$770,640
4608	Contra Costa County Community Services Dept	2520 Stanwell Drive, Suite 200 Concord, CA 94520	Public	\$637,035
4609	Economic and Social Opportunities (ESO)	1445-47 Oakland Road San Jose, CA 95112	Private	\$1,107,795
4610	El Dorado County Dept of Community Services	937 Spring Street Placerville, CA 95667	Public	\$173,556
4611	Fresno EOC	1920 Mariposa Mall, Suite 300 Fresno, CA 93721	Private	\$1,593,634
4612	Glenn County Human Resource Agency	420 East Laurel Street Willows, CA 95988	Public	\$173,556
4613&4654	Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action	224 S. Main Street Bishop, CA 93515	Private	\$175,650
4614	Kern County Economic Opportunity Council	300 -- 19th Street Bakersfield, CA 93301-4502	Private	\$1,165,593
4615	Kings Community Action Organization	1222 West Lacey Blvd., Suite 201 Hanford, CA 93230-5998	Private	\$189,728
4619	City of Los Angeles Community Development Department	215 West 6th Street, 6th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014	Public	\$7,128,842
4620	Los Angeles County Department of Community and Senior Services	3175 West 6th Street Los Angeles, CA 90020	Public	\$6,511,492
4616	Lake County CAA	14130 Lakeshore Drive Clearlake, CA 95422	Private	\$173,556
4617	Lassen/Plumas/Sierra CAA	183 West Main Street Quincy, CA 95971	Public	\$173,556
4618	Long Beach Community Services Development	780 Atlantic Avenue, Third Floor Long Beach, CA 90813	Private	\$920,580
4621	Madera County CAA	1200 West Maple Street Madera, CA 93637	Private	\$218,208
4622	Community Action Marin	29 Mary Street San Rafael, CA 94901	Private	\$173,556

**Includes any Discretionary Funds the agency received.

California Department of Community Services and Development (CSD)
2005 CSBG/IS Part I, Section B
List of Eligible Entities Receiving 2005 Funds

<i>Contract Number</i>	<i>Agency</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>Public or Private Agency</i>	<i>2005 Award Amount**</i>
Community Action Agencies (continued)				
4623	Merced County CAA	561 W. 18th Street Merced, CA 95344	Public	\$400,817
4624	Modoc-Siskiyou CAA	120 North Main Street Alturas, CA 96101	Public	\$173,556
4625	Monterey County Dept of Social Services	1000 South Main Street, Ste 301 Salinas, CA 93901	Public	\$459,871
4626	Community Action of Napa Valley	1001 "A" Franklin Street Napa, CA 94559	Private	\$173,556
4627	Nevada County Dept of Housing and Community	950 Maidu Avenue Nevada City, CA 95959	Public	\$173,556
4628	North Coast Opportunities	413 North State Street Ukiah, CA 95482	Private	\$173,556
4629	City of Oakland, Dept of Aging	Lionel J. Wilson Building 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza Suite 4340 Oakland, CA 94612	Public	\$680,593
4630	Orange County Community Development Council	12640 Knott Street Garden Grove, CA 92841	Private	\$2,576,200
4631	Placer County Health and Human Services Dept	11519 B Avenue Auburn, CA 95603-2602	Public	\$173,556
4632	Redwood Community Action Agency	904 G Street Eureka, CA 95501	Private	\$214,020
4633	Riverside County Dept of Community Action	4060 County Circle Drive Riverside, CA 92503	Public	\$1,905,241
4634	Sacramento Employment and Training Agency	1217 Del Paso Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95815	Public	\$1,511,125
4635	San Benito County Dept of Community Services	1131 San Felipe Road Hollister, CA 95023	Public	\$173,556
4636	San Bernardino County Community Services Dept.	686 East Mill Street San Bernardino, CA 92415-0610	Public	\$2,344,171
4637	County of San Diego, Health and Human Services Agency Community Action Partnership	1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 743 San Diego, CA 92101-7439	Public	\$3,011,780
4638	Economic Opportunity Commission of San Francisco	1426 Fillmore Street, Suite 301 San Francisco, CA 94115	Private	\$770,640
4639	San Joaquin County Dept of Aging, Children and Community Services	102 South San Joaquin Street Stockton, CA 95201	Public	\$864,039
4640	Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo	1030 Southwood Drive San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	Private	\$265,117
4641	CAA of San Mateo County	930 Brittan Avenue San Carlos, CA 94070	Private	\$362,285
4642	CAC of Santa Barbara County	5681 Hollister Avenue Goleta, CA 93117	Private	\$490,446
4643	Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	501 Soquel Avenue, Suite E Santa Cruz, CA 95062	Private	\$261,348
4644	Shasta County CAA	1670 Market Street, Suite 300 Redding, CA 96001	Public	\$218,627

California Department of Community Services and Development (CSD)

2005 CSBG/IS Part I, Section B

List of Eligible Entities Receiving 2005 Funds

<i>Contract Number</i>	<i>Agency</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>Public or Private Agency</i>	<i>2005 Award Amount**</i>
Community Action Agencies (continued)				
4645	Solano Safety-Net Consortium	1735 Enterprise Drive, Bldg 1 Fairfield, CA 94533	Private	\$278,938
4646	Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	555 Sebastopol Road, Suite A Santa Rosa, CA 95407	Private	\$323,334
4647	Sutter County CAA	938 14th Street Marysville, CA 95901	Private	\$173,556
4648	CAA of Tehama County	624 Washington Street Red Bluff, CA 96080	Public	\$173,556
4649	Ventura County Commission on Human Concerns	621 Richmond Avenue Oxnard, CA 93030	Private	\$609,811
4650	Yolo County Dept of Employment	25 North Cottonwood Street Woodland, CA 95695	Public	\$265,117
4651	Yuba County Community Services Commission	938 -- 14th Street Marysville, CA 95901	Public	\$173,556
4652	Central Valley Opportunity Center	1748 Miles Court Merced, CA 95348	Private	\$626,564
4653	Del Norte County Board of Supervisors	981 H Street, Suite 210 Crescent City, CA 95531	Private	\$42,301
Community Action Agencies TOTAL				\$43,348,081
Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Agencies				
4655	California Human Development Corp	3315 Airway Drive Santa Rosa, CA 95403	Private	\$1,272,420
4656	Center for Employment Training	701 Vine Street San Jose, CA 95110	Private	\$1,715,002
4657	Central Valley Opportunity Center	1748 Miles Court Merced, CA 95348	Private	\$497,904
4658	Proteus, Inc.	1830 N. Dinuba Boulevard Visalia, CA 93291	Private	\$2,046,937
4484	La Cooperativa Campesina de California	7801 Folsom Boulevard, Ste 365 Sacramento, CA 95826	Private	\$163,937
Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker Agencies TOTAL				\$5,696,200

California Department of Community Services and Development (CSD)
2005 CSBG/IS Part I, Section B
List of Eligible Entities Receiving 2005 Funds

<i>Contract Number</i>	<i>Agency</i>	<i>Address</i>	<i>Public or Private Agency</i>	<i>2005 Award Amount**</i>
Native American Indian Agencies				
4660&4661	Karuk Tribe of CA	746 Indian Creek Road Happy Camp, CA 96039	Private	\$99,145
4662	Los Angeles County NAI Commission	3175 Westh Sixth Street, Rm 403 Los Angeles, CA 90020	Public	\$347,968
4663&4664	Northern CA Indian Development Council	241 F Street Eureka, CA 95501	Private	\$1,774,404
Native American Agencies TOTAL				\$2,221,517
Limited Purpose Agencies				
4665	Campesinos Unidos (CUI)	1005 C Street Brawley, CA 92227	Private	\$81,846
4666	Community Design Center	1705 Ocean Avenue San Francisco, CA 94112	Private	\$123,262
4667	Del Norte Senior Center	1765 North Crest Drive Crescent City, CA 95531	Private	\$89,600
4668	Rural Community Assistance Corporation	3120 Freeboard Drive, Suite 201 West Sacramento, CA 95691	Private	\$138,053
Limited Purpose Agencies TOTAL				\$432,761
2005 CSBG ELIGIBLE ENTITY ALLOCATION TOTAL				\$51,698,559

Attachment B

Other HHS Resources

Attachment B-- Other HHS Resources

Agency Name		I. Other HHS Resources	Amount
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action		Small County Initiative (SC-I)	160,065.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action		Drug Free Youth (DFY)	116,202.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action		Mentoring Children of Prisoners - Office For Children & Families	17,530.00
Community Services & Employment Training, Inc.		Even Start	6,353.08
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Office of Refugee Resettlement	241,487.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Office of Refugee Resettlement	200,000.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Region IX Office For Children and Families	166,999.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Office of Refugee Resettlement - Ethnic Community Education	140,000.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Office of Community Services - CED Operational Projects	140,000.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Region IX Office For Children and Families - Basic Center Program	135,000.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Family Planning Services: Title X - California Family Health Council	128,453.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Family Planning Services: Family Health Council - Male	89,056.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Special Programs for the Aging - Title III-B Transportation	52,620.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Family Planning Services California Family Health Council - Youth	52,550.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Special Programs for the Aging - Title III-C1 Site Management	18,900.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Office of Community Services - Assets for Independence Project	16,000.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission		Family Planning Services - California Family Health Council - Access	9,445.00
Glenn County Human Resource Agency		Independent Living Program	589,987.00
California Human Development Corporation		Bureau of Primary Health	20,000.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern		Substance Abuse Mental Health	422,547.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern		Prevention Treatment Substance Abuse	74,000.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern		Title X Family Planning	37,602.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern		Helpline 2-1-1 (93.558)	28,882.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern		Immunization (93.268)	23,444.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern		Bioterrorism (93.283)	10,858.00
Karuk Tribe of California		Health Resources	649,212.00
Kings Community Action Organization		Abstinence Education Program	274,846.87
Community Action Marin		Mental Health	769,675.95
Community Action Marin		HIV Drug Treatment	291,281.47
Proteus, Inc.		Mentoring Children of Prisoners - Office For Children & Families	208,528.00
Redwood Community Action Agency		Mentoring Children of Prisoners - Office For Children & Families	144,555.00
Redwood Community Action Agency		Runaway & Homeless Youth	108,838.00
Redwood Community Action Agency		Street Outreach	99,744.00
Redwood Community Action Agency		Discretionary	12,624.00
Riverside County Department of Community Action		Individual Development Accounts	751,500.00
Riverside County Department of Community Action		Earned Income Tax Credit	70,000.00
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency		Targeted Assistance for Refugees	1,740,319.00
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency		Refugee Employment Social Services	1,673,182.00
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency		Program Improvement	2,305,873.00

Attachment B -- Other HHS Resources

Agency Name		I. Other HHS Resources	Amount
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	Safe and Stable Families		501,164.00
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	Riverside County Public Services		283,700.00
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	Individual Development Accounts		150,000.00
County of San Diego Health and Human Services	Refugee Services		743,614.00
Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo Co.	Board of Corrections - Title V		17,526,669.00
Economic Opportunity Commission of San Luis Obispo Co.	Title X		60,000.00
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Calworks		208,464.00
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Women Ventures		37,469.00
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	HIV Shelter Project		7,405.20
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Seniors Council		3,576.48
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Individual Development Accounts		3,840.00
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Healthy Tomorrows		52,701.00
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Compassion Capital		7,011.00
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Rural Services		90,909.00
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Safe and Stable Families		3,516.00
TOTAL			31,678,198.05

Attachment C

Other Federal Resources

Agency Name	X. Other Federal Sources	Amount
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Department of Commerce	21,097.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Department of Education	379,867.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Department of Agriculture	216,097.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Department of Justice	222,962.00
Calaveras-Mariposa Community Action Agency	Office of Child Abuse Prevention	30,000.00
Center for Employment and Training	Department of Education - Training	12,752,480.00
Center for Employment and Training	Department of Labor Workforce Investment	962,819.00
City of Oakland	Department of Justice - Reentry Grant	1,052,000.00
Community Services & Employment Training	U.S. Department of Education	368,931.74
Community Services & Employment Training	US Department of Justice Juvenile Justice	258,954.93
Central Valley Opportunity Center	Department of Education	179,297.00
Central Valley Opportunity Center	Department of Labor Housing	48,162.00
Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	Department of Education	95,000.00
Karuk Tribe of California	Bureau of Indian Affairs - Social Services	505,911.00
Karuk Tribe of California	Welfare Assistance	203,118.00
Community Action Partnership of Kern	Carol M. White Physical - Department of Education	22,664.00
La Cooperativa Campesina de California	Dislocated Worker	1,875,000.00
La Cooperativa Campesina de California	La Guia La Voz	100,000.00
Madera County Community Action Agency	US Department of Justice	243,904.00
Community Action Marin	Childcare - Non High School	52,250.00
Community Action Marin	Department of Education Training	136,374.99
Community Action Marin	Community Resource Grant	126,137.76
Northern California Indian Development Council	Department of Interior	9,558.00
Proteus, Inc.	Department of Education	422,858.00
Redwood Community Action Agency	Department of Interior - Bureau of Land Management	199,977.00
Redwood Community Action Agency	Department of Education Safety	167,424.00
Redwood Community Action Agency	National Forest Foundation	36,995.00
Redwood Community Action Agency	National Oceanic & Atmospheric	27,315.00
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency	Department of Justice - Youth Crime Prevention	369,992.00
San Joaquin County Department of Aging	Emergency Food Assistance Program	113,693.00
San Joaquin County Department of Aging	Petroleum Violation Escrow Account	90,411.00
Community Action Santa Barbara	California Waste Management	28,872.00
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Juvenile Accountability Block Grant	10,420.00
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Department of Education Even Start	89,364.00
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Environmental Protection Agency - Training	808,489.00
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Environmental Protection Agency	21,175.00
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Environmental Protection Agency - Safety	11,917.00
Ventura County Commission on Human Concerns	Department of Treasury	13,993.00
TOTAL:		22,275,480.42

Attachment D

Other State Resource

Agency Name	O. Other State Sources	Amount
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Department of Social Services-Tuolumne County	85,667.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Child Abuse Prevention and Intervention Treatment	81,604.00
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	First Five, Tuolumne and Amador Counties	204,760.00
California Human Development Corporation	Department of Developmental Services	273,956.00
California Human Development Corporation	Naturalization	51,500.00
California Human Development Corporation	Children and Families Grant	5,000.00
Center for Employment and Training	Workforce Investment Act	1,254,892.00
Center for Employment and Training	Workforce Training & Placement Services	358,176.00
Center for Employment and Training	Charter School Program	200,000.00
Central Valley Opportunity Center	Governors Discretionary	106,500.00
Central Valley Opportunity Center	Wagner and Pyser Grant	54,790.00
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	Commission for Children & Families	86,604.00
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	California Association of Food Banks (Vitamin)	26,191.00
El Dorado County Department of Community Services	In Home Support Services Public Authority	159,372.00
Glenn County Human Resource Agency	Child Abuse Treatment Program	193,076.00
Glenn County Human Resource Agency	Victim Witness Program	102,154.00
Glenn County Human Resource Agency	State General Fund	110,647.00
Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc	Proposition 10 -- Family Self-Sufficiency	224,905.00
Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc	Child Abuse Prevention	54,000.00
Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Inc	Tax Food Check Off	5,071.00
Karuk Tribe of California	Office of Emergency Services	99,346.00
Karuk Tribe of California	Appointed Special Advocates	52,004.00
Karuk Tribe of California	Office of Justice Program	24,697.00
Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.	Maternal & Child Health Adolescent Family Life	325,674.57
Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.	Maternal & Child Health Domestic Violence Prevention	149,568.22
Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.	Office of Emergency Services - Crisis Support	143,199.00
Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.	Maternal & Child Health Adolescent Sibling Prevention	74,900.05
Lassen Plumas Sierra Community Action Agency	Department of Parks and Recreation	4,650.00
Madera County Community Action Agency	Office of Emergency Services	143,318.00
Proteus, Inc.	Office of Emergency Services	177,585.00
Proteus, Inc.	Naturalization	32,250.00
Riverside County Department of Community Action	Superior Courts Dispute Resolution Center Grant	80,000.00
Riverside County Department of Community Action	Welfare To Work	40,000.00
Riverside County Department of Community Action	Childcare Payment Arbitration	10,000.00
San Benito County Community Services	Joe Serna Farmworker Housing Grant	645,294.00
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	First Five	245,531.00
County of San Diego Health and Human Services	Calworks	778,411.00
Economic Opportunity Commission San Luis Obispo	Family Pact Funds	885,273.00
Economic Opportunity Commission San Luis Obispo	Office of Child Abuse Prevention	554,185.00
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Children and Families Grant	43,516.66
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Naturalization	30,000.00
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Accessory Dwelling Unit	8,899.42
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	State Parks and Recreation	7,919.39
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County	Board of Corrections - Title V	6,673.80
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Childcare Center Food Program	17,577.00
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Children and Families Grant	386,362.00
Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Clean Water State Revolving Fund	417,286.00
Total		9,022,985.11

Attachment E

Additional National Performance Indicators

Goal 1.1

Additional Indicators submitted for Goal 1.1	Number of units projected to be served in Contract Period	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Unemployed or employed and received referrals for jobs or better paying jobs.	1,000	1,030	1,030	1,225	119%
Received training that will allow for self-employment	52	8	8	8	100%
Achieved a wage of \$7.25 or higher at time or placement	240	189	240	189	79%
People with disabilities are trained and employed	80	100	80	100	125%
Employment referrals	2,001	978	401	370	92%
Achieved 150% increase in post vs. pre training wages	1,260	1,879	1,378	1,116	81%
Improve effectiveness in job interviews by providing work appropriate clothing and personal care items.	100	151	151	113	75%

Goal 1.2

The number of low-income participants for whom barriers to initial or continuous employment are reduced or eliminated through assistance from community action, as measured by one or more of the following:	Number of Participants Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
Obtained information about how to continue MediCal benefits while becoming employed.	100	38	8
Obtained appropriate clothing in order to acquire or maintain employment.	3	3	3
Completed a course to overcome domestic violence, substance abuse, and anger management issues.	20	142	142
Completed a parenting course for fathers or mothers.	10	14	14
Individuals completing Naturalization Applications	150	310	310
Individuals assisted with Matricula Consular Applications	500	1,653	1,653
Provided shower and laundry services to the homeless		1,192	1,192
On-the-Job training	8	184	69
Obtained appropriate clothing in order to acquire or maintain employment.	25	25	25
Completed Pre-ABE/GED skills in Spanish. These students increased literacy and completed at least one educational goal identified at enrollment	45	61	44
Received training and certification in CPR and First Aid		34	32

Goal 1.3

The number and percentage of low-income households that achieve an increase in financial assets and/or financial skills as a result of community action assistance, and the aggregated amount of those assets and resources for all participants achieving the outcome, as measured by <u>one or more</u> of the following:	Number of Units Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Aggregated Dollar Amounts (Payments, Credits or Savings) (\$)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Number with improved credit rating	50	104	104	52	\$18,200	50%
energy conservation workshop		400	400	400	\$93,600	101%
United Way Energy Assistance Program		242	242	245	\$35,875	101%
Number and percentage of participants qualified for Renters Rebate	100	155	80	155	\$28,149	194%
Number and percentage enrolled in Food Stamps	150	831	665	665	\$24,840	100%
Number of individuals pre-screened for Food Stamps	500	572	271	271	\$660,156	100%
Individuals receiving financial literacy.	140	305	140	305		218%
number and percent purchasing property or land due to accumulated savings.	62	62	5	5	\$30,000	100%
Trust Fund Savings	24	24	29	29	\$10,000	100%

Goal 2.1

Increase in, or preservation of opportunities and community resources or services for low-income people in the community as a result of community action projects/initiatives or advocacy with other public and private agencies, as measured by <u>one or more</u> of the following:	Number of Units Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Number of Projects or Initiatives (#)	Number of Opportunities and/or Community Resources Preserved or Increased (#)
Increase in accessibility of community facilities		18	12
Administration of subsidized housing program	47		47

Goal 2.2

The quality of life and assets in low-income neighborhoods are improved by community action initiative or advocacy, as measured by <u>one or more</u> of the following:	Number of Programs Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Number of Program Initiatives or Advocacy Efforts (#)	Number of Community Assets, Services or Facilities Preserved or Increased (#)
Increase in accessibility of community facilities		28	28
Participation and/or sponsorship of health fairs, booths at community gatherings, diversity events, and community meetings	10	4	4
Develop countywide universal health insurance for children	1	1	1
Increase in the availability or preservation of community services to low-income people in rural areas.	1,500	35	1,500
Children in need of care. Number of months of MCAP childcare services (Goal 2 Narrative)	8,725	1	9,563

Goal 3.1

The number of volunteer hours donated to Community Action*	Number of Units Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Total Number of Volunteer Hours (#)
Board members participating in training re Tripartite Board and Governance Roles and Responsibilities.	15	18

Goal 3.2

The number of low-income people mobilized as a direct result of community action initiative to engage in activities that support and promote their own well-being and that of their community, as measured by one or more of the following: Individuals assisted with Micro-Enterprise Training, including business planning, self employment and potential loan support. Number of low-income people purchasing land or property as a result of community action assistance. Number of low-income youth participate in school related clubs and/or sports teams, and volunteer at other facilities or building. Public Education- Number of migrant and seasonal farmworker clients attending public education workshops with topics covering a variety of social issues. Information and Referral- Number of migrant and seasonal farmworker clients receiving I&R services which results in increased access to needed social services. Public Education- Number of clients attending public education workshops with topics covering a variety of social issues. Information and Referral- Number of clients receiving I&R services which results in increased access to needed social services.	Number of Units Projected to be served for Contract Period (#)	Number of Low-Income People (#)
	30	
	5	5
	15	43
	600	719
	1,500	2,258
	2,400	5,017
	5,510	6,958

Goal 4.1

The number of organizations, both public and private, community action actively works with to expand resources and opportunities in order to achieve family and community outcomes.	Number of Partnerships Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Number of Organizational Partnerships (#)
Number of households moving from in-crisis to stability in the social & emotional competency dimension of the family development matrix.	20	
Number of households moving from in-crisis to stability in the Family relation & parenting dimension of the family development matrix.	50	

Goal 5.1

The number of dollars mobilized by community action, including amounts and percentages from:*	Projected Dollars (\$)	Dollars Mobilized (\$)	Percentage of Total (%)
the number of dollars mobilized by client communities to improve water systems, to provide safe drinking water and to protect public health	\$200,000	\$3,769,826	1884.91%
CSBG Discretionary Contract	\$50,000	\$50,000	100%
County Contract	\$42,301	\$42,301	100%
WIC Vouchers, Health Center Fees, Food Bank Shared Maintenance Fees	\$19,110,000	\$17,628,886	92.25%
Food Bank USDA Commodities, Food Bank Donated Food Value, Utility Payments from the State of California.	\$6,114,000	\$5,455,325	89.23%

Goal 6.2

The number of low-income individuals or families served by community action that sought emergency assistance and the percentage of those households for which assistance was provided, including such services as:	Unit of Measurement	Number of Households Projected to be Served for Contract Period (#)	Number Seeking Assistance (#)	Number Receiving Assistance (#)	Percentage Receiving Assistance (%)
crisis intervention (3) with Law Enforcement accompanymment	Individuals		391	391	100%
Welfare Intake & Referral		300		17,895	
Provision of Energy-saving light bulbs and other energy conservation services.	Households	1,000	710	1,223	172%
COC Homeless Prevention	Households				
Crisis Intervention Referrals	Individuals	6,000	3,092	3,053	99%
Information and Referrals	Households	980	927	927	100%
Information and Referrals	Phone calls	80,000	60,789	60,789	100%;
Employment-related emergency assistance	Households	490	372	372	100%
Other Emergency Services	Households	550	97	97	100%
Employment-related emergency assistance	Households	64	367	367	100%
Provided case management at the East Oakland Community Project	Individuals	717	1,200	689	57%
Homeless moved into permanent housing	Households	100	215	164	76%
Clothing/Blanket Assistance			257	257	100%
Child Car seats & Households Appliances			5 & 2	5 & 2	
Information and Assistance	Telephone Calls		2,100	1,200	57%

Goal 6.3

The number and percentage of all infants, children, youth, parents, and other adults participating in developmental or enrichment programs that achieve program goals, as measured by one or more of the following:	Number of Participants Projected to be served for Contract Period (#)	Number of participants Enrolled in Program(s) in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Children and youth participating in violence prevention activities.		4,952	4,952	4,952	100%
Children and youth receive individual or group counseling.		55	55	55	100%
Teen Moms Work Service	30	30	30	30	100%
Youth improve money management skills	35	72	75	65	87%
Low income adults gained access to affordable health care not in support of employment.	12				
Adults/Children in cultural preservation activity-Basketweaving	8	8	8	8	100%
Individuals learn to deal with addictions			50	4,040	8080%
Formerly incarcerated youth are enrolled into college	50	50	50	58	116%
Homeless youth find safe shelter or stable housing	125	125	125	269	215%
Develop system for learning about medical immunization status agencywide	1	1	1	1	100%
Develop system for learning about medical home status agencywide	1	1	1	1	100%
Youth receives individual therapy	40	63	63	63	100%
Children and Youth are provided with positive role models		204	204		0%